

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Hazardous Materials

Journal of Hazardous Materials 156 (2008) 335-341

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Ruthenium catalysts supported on high-surface-area zirconia for the catalytic wet oxidation of *N*,*N*-dimethyl formamide

Guanglu Sun^{a,b}, Aihua Xu^a, Yu He^a, Min Yang^a, Hongzhang Du^a, Chenglin Sun^{a,*}

^a Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, PR China ^b Graduate School of The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China

Received 23 August 2007; received in revised form 25 November 2007; accepted 8 December 2007 Available online 8 February 2008

Abstract

Three weight percent ruthenium catalysts were prepared by incipient-wet impregnation of two different zirconium oxides, and characterized by BET, XRD and TPR. Their activity was evaluated in the catalytic wet oxidation (CWO) of *N*,*N*-dimethyl formamide (DMF) in an autoclave reactor. Due to a better dispersion, Ru catalyst supported on a high-surface-area zirconia (Ru/ZrO₂-A) possessed higher catalytic properties. Due to over-oxidation of Ru particles, the catalytic activity of the both catalysts decreased during successive tests. The effect of oxygen partial pressure and reaction temperature on the DMF reactivity in the CWO on Ru/ZrO₂-A was also investigated. 98.6% of DMF conversion was obtained through hydrothermal decomposition within 300 min at conditions of 200 °C and 2.0 MPa of nitrogen pressure. At 240 °C and 2.0 MPa of oxygen pressure 98.3% of DMF conversion was obtained within 150 min.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Catalytic wet oxidation; Ru; Zirconia; N,N-Dimethyl formamide; DMF

1. Introduction

Industry creates large annual amounts of wastewater containing products hazardous to the environment, and thus development of efficient technologies is needed. Considerable research efforts are being devoted into this field. Over the last years, wet air oxidation (CWAO) has emerged as a wellestablished proven technology for the treatment of streams with organic loads of $10-100 \text{ g} \text{ l}^{-1}$, which are too toxic for biodegradation or too diluted for incineration [1]. In spite of the operating costs (high temperature and pressure), the catalytic wet air oxidation (CWAO) in the presence of a catalyst (oxides, noble metals, etc.) appears as one of the more promising wastewater treatment technology [2], which not only makes it possible to reduce the reaction temperature and pressure, but also avoids the formation of harmful products by complete oxidation of organic contaminants to harmless carbon dioxide, water and nitrogen [3].

N,N-Dimethyl formamide (DMF) is polar solvent widely used in chemical laboratories and in industrial manufactures of synthetic fibers, leathers and films [4,5]. The biological studies have shown that inhalation or dermal absorption of DMF in humans causes gastric irritation, pancreatic disorder and hepatotoxicity [6]. In 1989, DMF was classified in group 2B (possibly carcinogenic to humans) by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization [7]. However, there is not so much attention paid to remove the toxicant by CWAO. Only Dobrynkin et al. [8] and Batygina et al. [3] investigated the activities of supported Ru catalysts in the CWAO of DMF; and Ru active carbon γ -Al₂O₃ was found to be very effective with 100% conversion and 100% selective to N₂ at 493 K [3].

Some studies have also shown that supported ruthenium catalysts were active and resistant to leaching for the wet air oxidation of many compounds such as acetic acid [9,10], ammonia [11,12] and chlorophenol [13]. The readily available stable materials for supporting ruthenium are usually TiO₂ [1,9,11,14], ZrO₂ [1,9,13] and CeO₂ [9,10]. Among the desired properties of a support, high-surface area and thermal stability rank very high. But the commercially available zirconium has typically less than $100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ [9,15]. It has been shown that digestion

^{*} Corresponding author at: Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, PR China. Tel.: +86 411 84379326; fax: +86 411 84699965.

E-mail address: clsun@dicp.ac.cn (C. Sun).

^{0304-3894/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.12.023

of hydrous zirconia, which is the initial product from precipitation of zirconia salts, improved several properties of the calcined product zirconia, such as increased surface area, improved thermal stability, etc. [15,16]. The present work is related to CWAO of DMF over Ru catalysts supported on high-surface-area zirconia that were prepared by digestion of hydrous zirconia in the mother liquor. The effect of the oxygen pressure and reaction temperature was also investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalysts preparation

Zirconium oxides were prepared following a similar procedure described in reference [15]. A 5 wt.% aqueous solution of zirconium chloride was first prepared, and then 25% aqueous ammonia was added dropwise to this solution under stirring at room temperature until the resulting solution pH leveled off at 10. The formed hydrous zirconia was divided into two portions; one was subjected to digestion at 90 °C for 60 h while the other was left to age at room temperature for 60 h. After that the solids were recovered and washed free of chloride, followed by drying at 90 °C for 8 h and calcination at 450 °C for 2 h. The obtained ZrO₂ were then grinded to powder. The zirconium oxides from digestion and aging were referred to as ZrO₂-A and ZrO₂-B, respectively.

Three weight percent Ru/ZrO₂ catalysts were prepared by incipient-wet impregnation using aqueous solution of RuCl₃. The preparations were then dried at 120 °C in air for 12 h, introduced in a quartz tube reactor and reduced in flowing hydrogen at 350 °C for 3 h. The Ru/ZrO₂ catalysts using the supports ZrO₂-A and ZrO₂-B were referred to as Ru/ZrO₂-A and Ru/ZrO₂-B, respectively.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

BET surface areas were assessed by N_2 adsorption isotherms at 77 K using a ChemBET-3000 instrument. The catalyst samples prior to the adsorption measurement were degassed at 300 °C for 6 h under vacuum.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts were analyzed with a Rigaku D/max RA diffractometer using Cu radiation at 50 kV and 100 mA.

Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) were carried out using 5% H₂/Ar gas mixture on a Micromeritics TPD/TPR 2900 instrument. The samples were pretreated with Ar at 350 °C for 30 min. The reactor was heated from room temperature to 800 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹.

2.3. Oxidation procedure

Catalyst testing was carried out in a 250 ml autoclave reactor made of titanium alloy at constant temperature and oxygen pressure as described in [17,18]. The reactor was loaded with 150 ml of 2.61 g l⁻¹ DMF solution and a given mass of catalyst. When the set temperature was attained, the stirrer was started at a speed of 800 rpm. This time was taken as the zero time

of reaction. Liquid samples were periodically withdrawn from the reactor, filtered to remove any catalyst particle, and finally analyzed.

The substrates and the reaction intermediates in liquid phase were analyzed by a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) equipped with a Hypersil ODS2 column (4.6 mm × 250 mm) and an UV detector at 219 nm. A mixture of methanol (35%), deionized water (65%) and sulphuric acid (0.5%) was used as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. The total organic carbon (TOC) value was determined with a Shimadu TOC-V_{CPH} analyzer. The ammonianitrogen was measured by Napierian reagent colorimetric method (GBZ50005-87). The total nitrogen was measured by alkaline potassium persulfate digestion-UV spectrophotometric method (GB 11894-89). Dimethyl amine (DMA) and the products such as CO and CO₂ in gas phase were analyzed by GC. The metal concentration in the final solution was analyzed by ICP-AES spectrometer, and no metal could be detected.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of the bare ZrO_2 and the supported Ru catalysts. All the materials consist of a mixture of two phases: monoclinic ($2\theta = 28.5^{\circ}$ and 31.6°) and tetragonal ($2\theta = 30.4^{\circ}$). No diffraction peak of the ruthenium phase could be observed indicating that Ru particles are probably well dispersed on the zirconia supports. The presence of amorphous RuO₂ species could cause the absence of Ru peaks. The zirconia from the digested precursor is predominantly tetragonal, but aging at room temperature results that zirconia crystallizes preferentially in the monoclinic phase. The results demonstrate that the crystal structure formed after precipitation can be altered by digestion [16].

The specific surface areas of the as-prepared solids are listed in Table 1. It can be seen that the surface area of ZrO_2 -A is much larger than that of ZrO_2 -B, as it has been proved that the zirconia formed from the digested hydrous oxides were better stabilized



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of Ru/ZrO2-A and Ru/ZrO2-B.

Table 1
Activity of the Ru supported catalysts for DMF oxidation

Catalysts	Specific area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	DMF conversion (%)	TOC removal (%)	TN removal (%)	pН
ZrO ₂ -A	191.4	30.2	9.70	19.6	9.63
ZrO ₂ -B	57.4	23.8	9.80	13.7	9.46
Ru/ZrO ₂ -A	123.6	55.0	18.0	28.9	9.41
Ru/ZrO ₂ -B	57.9	45.1	10.0	27.4	9.65
Blank	_	14.4	0	2.21	9.16

Reaction conditions: $T = 200 \,^{\circ}$ C, $C_{\text{DMF}} = 2.61 \,\text{g} \,\text{l}^{-1}$, pH 7.45, $C_{\text{Cat}} = 3.33 \,\text{g} \,\text{l}^{-1}$, $P_{\text{O}_2} = 2.0 \,\text{MPa}$ and time = 150 min.

against loss of surface area during calcination than that from undigested [16]. After impregnation with 3 wt.% Ru, a decrease in the specific area on the ZrO_2 -A was observed, but was not on the ZrO_2 -B.

Fig. 2 shows the hydrogen consumption by Ru solids as a function of temperature during the TPR experiments. For the Ru/ZrO₂-A catalyst, three peaks are observed: a wide reduction peak at 336.9 °C, a second one at 164.8 °C, and a third one at 123.7 °C. The Ru/ZrO₂-B profile is clearly different. A high intensity peak appears at 155.8 °C with a slight shoulder at 128.8 °C while a wide peak appears at 308.5 °C. According to some Refs. [19,20], the low-temperature peak (less than 140 °C) has been assigned to the reduction of well-dispersed RuOx species and the peak at about 160 °C has been attributed to RuO_x particles. The high temperature peak at above $300 \,^{\circ}$ C suggests the presence of a strong metal-support interaction. As the Ru/ZrO₂-B catalyst shows smaller area for the well-dispersed RuOx species reduction and larger area for the RuO_x particles than the Ru/ZrO₂-A, it can be concluded that Ru catalyst supported on ZrO₂-A are more highly dispersed. That the high temperature peak for ZrO2-B becoming smaller comparing with the peak at 336.9 $^\circ C$ for ZrO2-A can be also observed. A likely explanation is that the Ru particles keep a closer contact with ZrO₂-A when the support has a high-surface area.

3.2. Activity of the ruthenium supported catalysts

The catalytic activity of the bare ZrO_2 and the supported Ru catalysts on CWAO of DMF was first studied at 200 °C under



2.0 MPa oxygen pressure. The evolution of the DMF conversion, the TOC removal, the total nitrogen removal and the pH of solution after 150 min of reaction was summarized in Table 1. As it is well known that DMF is little convertible in water solutions and its hydrolysis is fairly slow in absence of special conditions (catalyst, high temperature, etc.) [3], in blank experiment the DMF conversion is very low and no TOC removal was detected. The bare ZrO₂ possess catalytic activity comparing with the blank experiment, and ZrO₂-A has higher activity owing to its highsurface area. Supported Ru catalysts are more active than the bare ZrO₂ as usually observed; and due to a better dispersion Ru/ZrO₂-A shows the highest catalytic properties. However, the yield of CO₂ over this catalyst is still very low.

Selectivity towards N₂ is a key factor characterizing catalyst work on CWAO of nitrogen-containing wastes. Some literatures reported that supported Ru catalysts were highly selective for catalytic oxidation of DMF [3] and NH₃ [11,12] to N₂ even at low temperatures. However, in our experiment at these conditions the selective formation of N₂ is very low, as the highest total nitrogen conversion in liquid phase after reaction is only 28.9% for Ru/ZrO₂-A catalyst. The initial pH of the DMF solution is around 7.45. After reaction the pH of the solution increases remarkably. The increase of the basicity is directly connected with the degradation of the formation of some basic reaction intermediates such as HN (CH₃)₂ and NH₃. Generally, the higher the DMF conversion, the higher the solution pH. But this is not true for Ru/ZrO₂-A as the catalyst shows faster degradation rate of the basic intermediates as discussed latter.







According to the scheme of DMF oxidation proposed by Batygina et al. [3], the first reaction step is hydrothermal decomposition of DMF to $HN(CH_3)_2$ and HCOOH. $HN(CH_3)_2$ can be then converted to CO_2 and NH_3 or other nitrogen compounds, depending on the catalyst and reaction conditions; and HCOOH to CO_2 . In this paper the intermediates detected by HPLC in the liquid phase include HCOOH, NO_3^- , and NO_2^- (shown in Fig. 3). NH_3 was detected by napierian reagent colorimetric method. Among the five reactions, a more noticeable difference was observed in the presence of Ru/ZrO_2 -A catalyst, for it shows the lowest amount of HCOOH and the highest of NO_3^- . This means that HCOOH and NH_3 can be easier oxidized on this catalyst.

Three recycling operations were also carried out to examine the stability of Ru/ZrO₂-A and Ru/ZrO₂-B catalysts. Starting from the fresh catalyst, four experiments of DMF oxidation were conducted with the same catalyst recycled after separation. The recovered catalyst was reused as such for the oxidation of fresh solution. The DMF conversion after 150 min is given in Fig. 4. During the four experiments the activity of Ru/ZrO₂-A is higher than that of Ru/ZrO₂-B throughout. But for the both catalysts their activity slightly decreased after the second catalyst recycle, then was stable after the third recycle. But during the successive tests later on their activity decreased again. The deactivation can be attributed to the over-oxidation of small Ru particles



Fig. 4. DMF conversion with Ru/ZrO₂-A and Ru/ZrO₂-B catalysts after three recycling operations ($T = 200 \,^{\circ}$ C, $C_{Cat} = 6.67 \,\text{g} \,\text{l}^{-1}$, $P_{O_2} = 2.0 \,\text{MPa}$ and time = 150 min).

on catalyst surface [21,22], as the loss of activity was completely reversible upon catalyst reduction in hydrogen (shown in Table 2). The supported Ru catalysts are stable against fouling, sintering and leaching [21].



Fig. 5. CWAO of DMF over Ru/ZrO₂-A at different oxygen pressures: (a) DMF conversion; (b) NO₃⁻ concentration; (c) HCOOH concentration; (d) solution pH. (T = 200 °C and $C_{Cat} = 3.33$ g l^{-1}).

Table 2
DMF conversion during successive tests in CWAO over Ru/ZrO ₂ -A catalyst

Run time	DMF conversion (%)		
5	48.5		
6	46.2		
7	43.8		
8 ^a	43.2		
9 ^b	60.6		

^a The catalyst was treated by N₂H₄ solution at 100 °C.

^b The catalyst was treated by H₂ at 350 °C.

3.3. Effect of oxygen pressure on catalytic behavior of Ru/ZrO₂-A for DMF oxidation

Owing to the good performance of supported Ru catalyst on the high-surface-area zirconia in DMF oxidation, it was decided to investigate further the catalytic behavior under a group of designed operation conditions, including oxygen pressure and temperature. The DMF conversion, the amount of NO_3^- and HCOOH, and the solution pH were shown to assess the reaction results.

The effect of oxygen partial pressure in the range of 0-4.0 MPa was firstly examined, as shown in Fig. 5(a)–(d). Contrary to the normal impact of oxygen partial pressure on the mineralization of many organic pollutants upon CWAO [13,14,23,24], in Fig. 5(a) it was observed that below 4.0 MPa

DMF conversion increases with decreasing oxygen pressure. This effect is tentatively correlated to the high catalytic hydrolytic rate of DMF at low oxygen pressures. Generally, the hydrolytic products of DMF are HCOOH and HN(CH₃)₂. When the reaction was carried out under nitrogen ($P_{O2} = 0$ MPa), large amount of HN(CH₃)₂ and NH₃ was detected as prediction; but the detected HCOOH was very low (shown in Fig. 5(c)). Therefore, in this case it can assume that the hydrolysis reaction was promoted by Ru/ZrO₂-A catalyst through decomposing HCOOH into some products such as CO and/or CO₂ [25]; and the presence of CO confirmed our assumption.

At high oxygen partial pressure (ca. $P_{O_2} = 4.0$ MPa), the hydrolytic products can be further oxidized by Ru/ZrO₂-A into CO₂ and NO₃⁻, as shown in Fig. 5(b) and (c), leading to the right shift of the hydrolysis balance. In Fig. 5(b), the concentration of NO₃⁻ increases with increasing oxygen pressure; and in Fig. 5(c), below 4.0 MPa the HCOOH concentration also increases with increasing oxygen pressure. The detected small concentration of HCOOH at 4.0 MPa of oxygen pressure was caused by the fast oxidation of this product into CO₂ in this condition.

The solution pH profiles shown in Fig. 5(d) give another evidence of the above explanation. Under nitrogen atmosphere the solution pH is at above 10, indicating the great produce of $HN(CH_3)_2$ and NH_3 ; but at 4.0 MPa of oxygen atmosphere the solution pH decreases from 7.93 to 1.95 with increasing reaction



Fig. 6. CWAO of DMF over Ru/ZrO2-A at different reaction temperatures: (a) DMF conversion; (b) NO₃⁻ concentration; (c) HCOOH concentration; (d) solution pH ($C_{\text{Cat}} = 3.33 \text{ g} \text{ l}^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{O}_2} = 2.0 \text{ MPa}$).

time, indicating that $HN(CH_3)_2$ and NH_3 were further oxidized to HNO_3 .

3.4. Effect of reaction temperature on catalytic behavior of Ru/ZrO₂-A for DMF oxidation

As discussed in Table 1, the conversion of DMF and the selective formation of N₂ are very low for Ru/ZrO₂-A catalyst at 200 °C. In this section some experiments were carried out under higher reaction temperatures in order to determine the optimum reaction conditions of the complete transformation and mineralization of DMF to harmless products. Fig. 6(a) shows the effect of reaction temperature on DMF conversion. It can be seen the rate of disappearance of DMF increases at increasing temperature. At 240 °C, a 98% DMF conversion was reached after 150 min. At zero time the observed DMF conversion is high, but it cannot be concluded immediately that DMF is mainly adsorbed on the catalyst, as the amount of products at this time is also high (shown in Fig. 6(b) and (c)).

Fig. 6(b) shows the results of detected nitrate concentration. It appears that the nitrate concentration increases when increasing the reaction temperature; but the increased value is not too large. The highest concentration is 257 mg/l obtained at 240 °C after 150 min, only occupying 11.8% in total conversed nitrogen. This means that a high selective formation of N₂ (about 88%) is obtained in this case, as the other forms of conversed nitrogen such as $HN(CH_3)_2$, NH_3 and NO_2^- were not detected. Fig. 6(c) shows the results of detected HCOOH concentration. It can be seen that at low reaction temperature (ca. 180 °C), the amount of HCOOH increases with reaction time, indicating the product is resistant to oxidation at this temperature. At higher temperatures, its amount first increases and then decreases. The higher the temperature, the faster it disappeared. Fig. 6(d) compares the solution pH detected under different reaction temperatures. As can be observed the solution pH profiles show similar trends with that of HCOOH concentration in Fig. 6(c). The most noticeable observation is that at 240 °C the solution pH rapidly decreases to 1.91 after 150 min, implying that the basic reaction intermediates has completely disappeared.

4. Conclusions

The ZrO₂-A prepared by digestion of hydrous zirconia in the mother liquor has a high-surface area of $191.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, which is larger than the ZrO₂-B prepared from aging. Ru catalyst supported on the high-surface-area zirconia (Ru/ZrO₂-A) with a better dispersion was confirmed by TPR. Among all the as-prepared solids Ru/ZrO₂-A possesses the highest catalytic activity in CWAO of DMF. The intermediates detected by HPLC in the liquid phase include HCOOH, HN(CH₃)₂, NH₃, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻. The strong influence of the oxygen pressure and reaction temperature on DMF oxidation is clearly demonstrated. At low oxygen pressure Ru/ZrO₂-A catalyst promotes DMF hydrolysis rate through decomposing HCOOH into some products. At 240 °C and 2.0 MPa of oxygen pressure 98.3% of DMF conversion is obtained within 150 min; and a high selective formation of N_2 (about 88%) is also obtained in this case.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Yulong Lai for his assistance with the HPLC measurement.

References

- [1] N. Perkas, D.P. Minh, P. Gallezot, A. Gedanken, M. Besson, Platinum and ruthenium catalysts on mesoporous titanium and zirconium oxides for the catalytic wet air oxidation of model compounds, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 59 (2005) 121–130.
- [2] L. Oliviero, J. Barbier Jr., D. Duprez, Wet air oxidation of nitrogencontaining organic compounds and ammonia in aqueous media, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 40 (2003) 163–184.
- [3] M.V. Batygina, N.M. Dobrynkin, A.S. Noskov, Oxidation of organic substances in aqueous solutions over Ru catalysts by oxygen, Adv. Environ. Res. 4 (2000) 123–132.
- [4] A.R. Katritzky, H.X. Chang, B. Yang, N-Formylbenzotriazole: a stable and convenient N- and O-formylating agent, Synthesis 5 (1995) (1995) 503–505.
- [5] Y. Shvo, V. Goldman-Lev, Catalytic oxidation of alcohols with allyl diethyl phosphate and palladium acetate, J. Org. Chem. 650 (2002) 151–156.
- [6] G.L. Kennedy, Biological effects of acetamide, formamide, and the monomethyl and dimethyl derivatives, CRC Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 17 (1985) 129–182.
- [7] C. Chang, J. Chen, M. Lu, H. Yang, Photocatalytic oxidation of gaseous DMF using thin film TiO₂ photocatalyst, Chemosphere 58 (2005) 1071–1078.
- [8] N.M. Dobrynkin, M.V. Batygina, A.S. Noskov, Solid catalysts for wet oxidation of nitrogen-containing organic compounds, Catal. Today 45 (1998) 257–260.
- [9] J. Barbier Jr., F. Delanoë, F. Jabouille, D. Duprez, G. Blanchard, P. Isnard, Total oxidation of acetic acid in aqueous solutions over Noble Metal Catalysts, J. Catal. 177 (1998) 378–385.
- [10] S. Imamura, Y. Taniguchi, Y. Ikeda, S. Hosokawa, H. Kanai, H. Ando, Reduction behavior of Ru/CeO₂ catalysts and their activity for wet oxidation, React. Kinet. Catal. L 76 (2002) 201–206.
- [11] J. Taguchi, T. Okuhara, Selective oxidative decomposition of ammonia in neutral water to nitrogen over titania-supported platinum or palladium catalyst, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 194/195 (2000) 89–97.
- [12] J.Y. Qin, K. Aika, Catalytic wet air oxidation of ammonia over alumina supported metals, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 16 (1998) 261–268.
- [13] N. Li, C. Descorme, M. Besson, Catalytic wet air oxidation of chlorophenols over supported ruthenium catalysts, J. Hazard. Mater. 146 (2007) 602–609.
- [14] J.C. Béziat, M. Besson, P. Gallezot, S. Durécu, Catalytic wet air oxidation of carboxylic acids on TiO₂-supported ruthenium catalysts, J. Catal. 182 (1999) 129–135.
- [15] G.K. Chuah, S. Jaenicke, S.A. Cheong, K.S. Chan, The influence of preparation conditions on the surface area of zirconia, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 145 (1996) 267–284.
- [16] G.K. Chuah, S. Jaenicke, B.K. Pong, The preparation of high-surface-area zirconia. II. Influence of precipitating agent and digestion on the morphology and microstructure of hydrous zirconia, J. Catal. 175 (1998) 80–92.
- [17] A. Xu, M. Yang, H. Du, C. Sun, Influence of partial replacement of Cu by Fe on the CWO of phenol in the Cu_{0.5-x}Fe_xZn_{0.5}Al₂O₄ spinel catalysts, Catal. Commun. 7 (2006) 513–517.
- [18] M. Yang, A. Xu, H. Du, C. Sun, C. Li, Removal of salicylic acid on perovskite-type oxide LaFeO₃ catalyst in catalytic wet air oxidation process, J. Hazard. Mater. B 139 (2007) 86–92.
- [19] B. Faroldi, C. Carrara, E.A. Lombardo, L.M. Cornaglia, Production of ultrapure hydrogen in a Pd–Ag membrane reactor using Ru/La₂O₃ catalysts, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 319 (2007) 38–46.

- [20] G.G. Yan, T. Wu, W. Weng, H. Toghiani, R.K. Toghiani, H.L. Wan, C.U. Pittman, Partial oxidation of methane to H₂ and CO over Rh/SiO₂ and Ru/SiO₂ catalysts, J. Catal. 226 (2004) 247–259.
- [21] M. Besson, P. Gallezot, Stability of ruthenium catalysts supported on TiO_2 or ZrO_2 in catalytic wet air oxidation, Top. Catal. 33 (2005) 101–108.
- [22] D.P. Minh, P. Gallezot, M. Besson, Treatment of olive oil mill wastewater by catalytic wet air oxidation. 3. Stability of supported ruthenium catalysts during oxidation of model pollutant *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid in batch and continuous reactors, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 75 (2007) 71–77.
- [23] S.S. Lin, D.J. Chang, C.H. Wang, C.C. Chen, Catalytic wet air oxidation of phenol by CeO₂ catalyst-effect of reaction conditions, Water Res. 37 (2003) (2003) 793–800.
- [24] J. Guo, M. Al-Dahhan, Activity and stability of iron-containing pillared clay catalysts for wet air oxidation of phenol, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 299 (2006) 175–184.
- [25] S. Liu, C. Wang, H. Zhai, D. Li, Hydrolysis of N,N-dimethylformamide catalyzed by the Keggin H₃[PMo₁₂O₄₀]: isolation and crystal structure analysis of [(CH₃)₂NH₂]₃[PMo₁₂O₄₀], J. Mol. Struct. 654 (2003) 215–221.